THE SKY IS NOT THE LIMIT: **Multitasking Across GitHub Projects**

Bogdan Vasilescu (@b_vasilescu) Kelly Blincoe (@KellyBlincoe) Qi Xuan **Casey Casalnuovo Dana Damian** Prem Devanbu **Vladimir Filkov**















Multitasking is common

#icsenumber







EXAMPLE: GitHub developer (25 Nov 2013 – 18 May 2014)











EXAMPLE: GitHub developer (25 Nov 2013 – 18 May 2014)





EXAMPLE: GitHub developer (25 Nov 2013 – 18 May 2014)



WHY?

 Request from other dev's / management



EXAMPLE: GitHub developer (25 Nov 2013 – 18 May 2014)



WHY?

- Request from other dev's / management
- Dependencies



EXAMPLE: GitHub developer (25 Nov 2013 – 18 May 2014)



WHY?

- Request from other dev's / management
- Dependencies

- Being "stuck"
- Downtime



EXAMPLE: GitHub developer (25 Nov 2013 – 18 May 2014)



WHY?

- Request from other dev's / management
- Dependencies

- Being "stuck"
 - Personal interest

Downtime



EXAMPLE: GitHub developer (25 Nov 2013 – 18 May 2014)



WHY?

- Request from other dev's / management
- Dependencies

- Being "stuck"
- Personal interest



CONS



PROS

CONS

Fill downtime

Switch focus between projects to utilize time more efficiently

(Adler and Benbunan-Fich, 2012)



PROS

CONS

Fill downtime

Switch focus between projects to utilize time more efficiently

(Adler and Benbunan-Fich, 2012)

Cross-fertilisation

Easier to work on other projects if knowledge is transferrable

(Lindbeck and Snower, 2000)



PROS

Fill downtime

Switch focus between projects to utilize time more efficiently

(Adler and Benbunan-Fich, 2012)

Cross-fertilisation

Easier to work on other projects if knowledge is transferrable

(Lindbeck and Snower, 2000)



CONS

Cognitive switching cost

Depends on interruption duration, complexity, moment

(Altmann and Trafton, 2002) (Borst, Taatgen, van Rijn, 2015)

PROS

Fill downtime

Switch focus between projects to utilize time more efficiently

(Adler and Benbunan-Fich, 2012)

Cross-fertilisation

Easier to work on other projects if knowledge is transferrable

(Lindbeck and Snower, 2000)



CONS

Cognitive switching cost

Depends on interruption duration, complexity, moment

(Altmann and Trafton, 2002) (Borst, Taatgen, van Rijn, 2015)

"Project overload"

Mental congestion when too much multitasking (Zika-Viktorsson, Sundstrom, Engwall, 2006)

PROS

Fill downtime

Switch focus between projects to utilize tim more efficiently

(Adler and Benbunan-Fich, 2012)

Cross-fertilisation

Easier to work on other projects if knowledge is transferrable



Amount of multitasking

CONS

Cognitive switching cost

Depends on interruption duration, complexity, moment

Altmann and Trafton, 2002) Borst, Taatgen, van Rijn, 2015)

"Project overload"

Mental congestion when too much multitasking (Zika-Viktorsson, Sundstrom, Engwall, 2006)

(Lindbeck and Snower, 2000)

Rule of thumb (Weinberg, 1992) - not based on data



Rule of thumb (Weinberg, 1992) - not based on data



Rule of thumb (Weinberg, 1992) - not based on data



Recent work:

Resuming interrupted tasks
(Parnin and DeLine, 2010)

Work fragmentation

(Sanchez, Robbes, and Gonzalez, 2015)

... but lots of data to test theories on.



14 million people 35 million projects This work: Large-scale empirical study



(15% resp. rate)

WHAT? **Multitasking across projects** Trends Reasons Effects Limits ? ? ? HOW? Sample: 1,200 programmers 5+ years of activity Data mining + User survey

50,000+ projects total

This work: Large-scale empirical study







PERCEPTION "When contributing to multiple projects in parallel, I:"

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral		Agree	Strongly agree
15%	ir	ncrease proje <mark>ct</mark>	success		47%
23%	r	esolve more is:	sues		40%
29%	fee	<mark>l more produc</mark> t	tive		33%
31%	<mark>co</mark> ntribu	<mark>t</mark> e more code c	overall		29%
34%	review r	nore pull reque	ests		23%
52%	introduce	fewer bugs			5%
100	50	0	50		100



PERCEPTION "When contributing to multiple projects in parallel, I:"





"When contributing to multiple projects in parallel, I:"



PERCEPTION "When contributing to multiple projects in parallel, I:"



PERCEPTION "When contributing to multiple projects in parallel, I:"





EMPIRICAL DATA Multitasking vs. code production







EMPIRICAL DATA Multitasking vs. code production



Daily multitasking correlates to amount of code produced

PERCEPTION "When contributing to multiple projects in parallel, I:"





⊠ ||||

EMPIRICAL DATA Multitasking vs. code production



Daily multitasking correlates to amount of code produced Weekly and day-to-day scheduling of work matters







⊠⊠

EMPIRICAL DATA Multitasking vs. code production



Daily multitasking correlates to amount of code produced Weekly and day-to-day scheduling of work matters



No scheduling is productive beyond 5 projects/week

Period matters



 Period matters
Effort matters (A vs. B)



Period matters
Effort matters
Break matters
...
(A vs. D)





1. PROJECTS PER DAY



1. PROJECTS PER DAY



2. WEEKLY FOCUS



2. WEEKLY FOCUS































Linear mixed-effects regression

Response: LOC added / week

Controls:

- time
- total projects
- programming languages

Projects per day Weekly focus 100% 80% 60% 40% 20% 0% 2 3 4 5 6 В С D Α Projects Day-to-day focus



Longitudinal data

- Random effect: developer
- 1,200 developers
- 5+ years each: multiple weeks of observation
- developer-to-developer variability in the response

Random slope: time | developer

 developers more productive initially may be less strongly affected by time passing

Predictors:

Higher LOC added

Projects per day





Weekly focus



Day-to-day focus (repeatability)



Higher LOC added









Weekly focus



Day-to-day focus (repeatability)



Higher LOC added









More within-day multitasking

Weekly focus





Day-to-day focus (repeatability)





D

Higher LOC added









More within-day multitasking

Weekly focus





Day-to-day focus (repeatability)







Interaction effects:

No scheduling is productive over 5 projects/week

PROS

Fill downtime

Switch focus between projects to utilize tim more efficiently

(Adler and Benbunan-Fich, 2012)



Cognitive switching cost

CONS

Depends on interruption duration, complexity, moment

Altmann and Trafton, 2002) Borst, Taatgen, van Rijn, 2015)

Amount of multitasking

В

"Project overload"

Mental congestion when too much multitasking

(Zika-Viktorsson, Sundstrom, Engwall, 2006)

More within-day multitasking

Higher focus More repetitive day-to-day work

Interaction effects:

No scheduling is productive over 5 projects/week

(Lindbeck and Snower, 2000)

transferrable

Cross-fertilisation

Easier to work on other

projects if knowledge is



Implications - awareness



Average 2.7 projects/day (median 2; range 0-10) Average 6 projects/week (median 5; range 0-30)



Multitasking correlates to amount of code produced No scheduling is productive beyond 5 projects/week

Implications - awareness



Average 2.7 projects/day (median 2; range 0-10) Average 6 projects/week (median 5; range 0-30)



Multitasking correlates to amount of code produced No scheduling is productive beyond 5 projects/week

TASK MANAGEMENT TOOLS



Codetree Z ZenHub.io HuBoard sprintly <> Zube



Bogdan Vasilescu Kelly Blincoe Qi Xuan **Casey Casalnuovo Daniela** Damian Prem Devanbu Vladimir Filkov



NEW ZEALAND



